

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/743,964	NGUYEN, ANDREW P.
	Examiner Yewebdar T. Tadesse	Art Unit 1734

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Yewebdar T. Tadesse. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jennifer Hayes. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 September 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 15*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Wilbur (US 6,257,118)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney gave the examiner permission to amend claim 15 (see Examiner's amendment) in similar manner as claim 1 to clearly distinguish over the prior art cited (including Wilbur). Some minor claim languages are also amended in claims (1, 15 and 18) to eliminate 112 2nd paragraph issue..